

Martin Lorenz
 Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik
 Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
 D-5300 Bonn 3, Fed. Rep. Germany

The aim of these notes is to generalize the main result of the author's article [5] while also substantially simplifying the K_0 -theoretic part of its proof. In particular, the Morita context for group actions that played a central role in [5] doesn't occur here and is replaced by a suitable version of Frobenius reciprocity.

Our notations and conventions follow [5]. All modules will be right modules. Further assumptions will be introduced as we go along.

1. DIAGONAL ACTIONS ON TENSOR PRODUCTS.

Let R_i ($i=1,2$) be algebras over some commutative ring k and let G be a group acting on each R_i by k -algebra automorphisms. The corresponding skew group rings will be denoted by $S_i = R_i * G$. They are k -algebras, hence we can form the k -algebra $S_1 \otimes_k S_2$. Indeed, $S_1 \otimes_k S_2$ is isomorphic to the skew group ring of $G \times G$ over $R_1 \otimes_k R_2$ with respect to the obvious action of $G \times G$ on $R_1 \otimes_k R_2$. The maps $R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \otimes_k R_2$, $r \mapsto r \otimes 1$, and $G \rightarrow G \times G$, $g \mapsto (g, g)$, give rise to a k -algebra map $\mu_1 : S_1 \rightarrow S_1 \otimes_k S_2$. Explicitly, μ_1 is given by

$$\mu_1\left(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \otimes g \quad ,$$

and $\mu_2 : S_2 \rightarrow S_1 \otimes_k S_2$ is defined similarly.

Now let V_i be S_i -modules ($i=1,2$). Then $V_1 \otimes_k V_2$ is a module over $S_1 \otimes_k S_2$ in the usual fashion, and hence via μ_i a module over each S_i . Specifically we have for S_1 , say,

$$(v_1 \otimes v_2) r_1 g = v_1 r_1 g \otimes v_2 g \quad (v_i \in V_i, r_1 \in R_1, g \in G) \quad .$$

2. FROBENIUS RECIPROCITY.

Let H be a subgroup of G and let $T_i = R_i * H \subseteq S_i$ be the corresponding skew group rings. If V is an S_1 -module and W is a T_2 -module, then

$$V \otimes_k (W \otimes_{T_2} S_2) \cong \left(V \Big|_{T_1} \otimes_k W \right) \otimes_{T_i} S_i$$

as S_i -modules ($i=1,2$), with the diagonal module operations on tensor products over k , as defined in Section 1.

PROOF. The k -linear map $W \rightarrow W \otimes_{T_2} S_2$, $w \mapsto w \otimes 1$, yields a k -linear map $V \otimes_k W \rightarrow V \otimes_k (W \otimes_{T_2} S_2)$, $v \otimes w \mapsto v \otimes (w \otimes 1)$. This map is linear over $T_1 \otimes_k T_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (v \otimes w)(r_1 h_1 \otimes r_2 h_2) &= v r_1 h_1 \otimes w r_2 h_2 \mapsto v r_1 h_1 \otimes (w r_2 h_2 \otimes 1) = \\ &= v r_1 h_1 \otimes (w \otimes 1) r_2 h_2 = (v \otimes (w \otimes 1))(r_1 h_1 \otimes r_2 h_2) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this map is linear over each T_i (acting via μ_i). Since $V \otimes_k (W \otimes_{T_2} S_2)$ is a module over S_i , we obtain S_i -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i : \left(V \Big|_{T_1} \otimes_k W \right) \otimes_{T_i} S_i &\rightarrow V \otimes_k (W \otimes_{T_2} S_2) \\ (v \otimes w) \otimes s &\longmapsto (v \otimes (w \otimes 1)) \cdot s . \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have for $i=1,2$:

$$\varphi_i((v \otimes w) \otimes g) = v g \otimes (w \otimes g) \quad (v \in V, w \in W, g \in G) .$$

Note that, as modules over the group algebra $kG \subseteq S_i$, we have

$$\left(V \otimes_k W \right) \otimes_{T_i} S_i \Big|_{kG} \cong (V \otimes_k W) \otimes_{kH} kG$$

and

$$V \otimes_k \left(W \otimes_{T_2} S_2 \right) \Big|_{kG} \cong V \otimes_k \left(W \otimes_{kH} kG \right) .$$

Moreover, the map φ_1 is the usual Frobenius reciprocity isomorphism between the modules on the right hand sides. Hence, in particular, it is bijective (cf. e.g. [9, Thm.2.2 on p.15]).

■

3. G_0 and K_0 .

From now on, we assume that $R_2 = k$ is a field and that the group G is finite. We will write $R_1 = R$ and $S = R * G$. Our goal is to study the Grothendieck groups $G_0(S)$ and $K_0(S)$ of fin.gen. S -modules, resp. fin.gen. projective S -modules, and their analogs for R and kG . Henceforth, we will implicitly assume that S , or equivalently R , is right Noetherian so that $G_0(S)$ and $G_0(R)$ are defined.

$G_0(kG)$ is a commutative ring with 1, with multiplication afforded by \otimes_k and $1 = [k]$, k the "trivial" kG -module. Clearly, if W is a kG -module then $(.) \otimes_k W$ transforms exact sequences of S -modules into exact sequences of S -modules, where S operates via $\mu = \mu_1 : S \rightarrow S \otimes_k kG$. Moreover, if W is fin.gen. over kG and V is fin.gen. over S then $V \otimes_k W$ is also fin.gen. over S . Therefore, $[V] \mapsto [V \otimes_k W]$ yields an endomorphism of $G_0(S)$. It is easy to check that setting

$$[V] \cdot [W] := [V \otimes_k W]$$

we obtain a well-defined module action of $G_0(kG)$ on $G_0(S) : [V \otimes_k W]$ depends only on the class $[W]$ of W in $G_0(kG)$,

$V_1 \otimes_k (W_1 \otimes_k W_2) \cong (V_1 \otimes_k W_1) \otimes_k W_2$ holds for all kG -modules W_i , and $V \otimes_k k \cong V$ as S -modules.

The same definitions also make $K_0(S)$ a module over $G_0(kG)$. For this, one has to check that if V is fin.gen. projective over S then so is $V \otimes_k W$, for any fin.gen. kG -module W . It suffices to do this for $V = S$: Using Frobenius reciprocity with $H = \langle 1 \rangle$ we get

$$S \otimes_k W = (R \otimes_R S) \otimes_k W \cong (R \otimes_k W) \otimes_R S \cong R \otimes_k W \otimes_R S \cong S \otimes_k W$$

as required. - The canonical Cartan map $c : K_0(S) \longrightarrow G_0(S)$ is a $G_0(kG)$ -module homomorphism.

LEMMA 1. The map $\text{Ind}_R^S \circ \text{Res}_R^S : G_0(S) \longrightarrow G_0(R) \longrightarrow G_0(S)$ is multiplication by $[kG] \in G_0(kG)$ on $G_0(S)$. The same also holds for K_0 instead of G_0 .

PROOF. If V is a fin.gen. S -module then, using Frobenius reciprocity with $H = \langle 1 \rangle$, we obtain

$$\text{Ind}_R^S \circ \text{Res}_R^S (V) = V \otimes_R S = (V \otimes_k k) \otimes_R S \cong V \otimes_k (k \otimes_k kG) \cong V \otimes_k kG ,$$

which proves the lemma. ■

We note one particular consequence of the lemma that will be used in the next section: The ring R becomes an S -module via the obvious isomorphism

$$\left(\sum_{x \in G^x} \right) S = \left(\sum_{x \in G^x} \right) R \cong R .$$

Clearly, $\text{Ind}_R^S \circ \text{Res}_R^S (R_S) = \text{Ind}_R^S (R_R) = S_S$, and so the lemma implies the following

COROLLARY. $[S] = [R_S] \cdot [kG]$ holds in $G_0(S)$.

4. p-GROUPS IN CHARACTERISTIC p.

If $\text{char } k = p > 0$ and G_p is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then $G_0(kG_p) = \langle [k] \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}$ and, in particular, $[kG_p] = |G_p| \cdot [k]$. Therefore, in $G_0(kG)$ we have $[kG] = |G_p| \cdot \text{Ind}_{kG_p}^{kG} [k]$, and the above corollary gives

$$[S] = |G_p| \cdot [R_S] \cdot \text{Ind}_{kG_p}^{kG} [k]$$

in $G_0(S)$. The following lemma is now obvious.

LEMMA 2. Let $\text{char } k = p > 0$ and let G_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then, for any homomorphism $\rho : G_0(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, we have $|G_p| \mid \rho(S)$.

Here we have written $\rho(S) = \rho([S])$, for simplicity. Perhaps the most commonly used homomorphism $G_0(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, for any right Noetherian ring T , is Goldie's reduced rank function (cf. [2, Sect.2]). A quick definition of this function can be given as follows. Let N denote the nilpotent radical of T . Then the canonical inflation (or restriction) map $G_0(T/N) \rightarrow G_0(T)$ is an isomorphism ([1, p.454]). Moreover, T/N has an Artinian ring of quotients $Q = Q(T/N)$. The reduced rank function is the composite function

$$G_0(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0(T/N) \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes_{T/N} Q} G_0(Q) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{composition} \\ \text{length over } Q}} \mathbb{Z}$$

The following is the main result of this note. It extends [5, Theorem 2.4].

THEOREM. Assume that

- (a) $\text{char } k = p$ and G is a finite p -group $\neq \langle 1 \rangle$,
 - (b) $K_0(R) = \langle [R] \rangle$, that is, all fin.gen. projective R -modules are stable free,
- and (c) $1 \notin [S, S] = \{ \sum_i s_i t_i - t_i s_i \mid s_i, t_i \in S \}$, that is, $S = R * G$ has a trace function which does not vanish on 1.

Then, for any homomorphism $\rho : G_0(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, one has $p \mid \rho(P)$ for all fin.gen. projective S -modules P .

PROOF. Let P be a fin.gen. projective S -module with $p \nmid \rho(P)$. By (b), $[P_R] = n \cdot [R]$ for some n . After replacing P by $P \oplus S^m$ for a suitable m , we may assume that $n \geq 0$. (Use Lemma 2. Actually, $n \geq 0$ is automatic, since $M_t(R)$ is right Noetherian and hence directly finite for all t , cf. [4, Prop. 15.3].) In view of assumption (a), Lemma 1 yields the following equalities in $K_0(S)$:

$$|G| \cdot [P] = [P \otimes_R S] = n \cdot [S] \quad .$$

Applying ρ (or $\rho \circ c$ rather) and using the fact that $|G|$ divides $\rho(S)$, by Lemma 2, we see that n divides $\rho(P)$, so that $p \nmid n$. The equality $|G| \cdot [P] = n \cdot [S]$ in $K_0(S)$ says that, for some $r \geq 0$,

$$p^{|G|} \oplus S^r \cong S^{n+r} \quad .$$

We may clearly assume that $p \mid r$, say $r = pr'$. Thus, setting $V = p^{|G|/p} \oplus S^{r'}$, we have $S^{n+r} \cong V^p$ and, taking endomorphism rings, we obtain a ring isomorphism

$$M_{n+r}(S) \cong M_p(\text{End } V_S) \quad .$$

By (c), the universal trace $\text{tr} : S \rightarrow S/[S,S] =: A$ does not vanish on 1. Defining, as usual, $\text{tr}_{n+r} : M_{n+r}(S) \rightarrow A$ by $\text{tr}_{n+r}([s_{ij}]) = \sum_i \text{tr}(s_{ii})$ we obtain a trace function for $M_{n+r}(S)$ with $\text{tr}_{n+r}(1_{n+r}) = (n+r) \cdot \text{tr}(1) \neq 0$. Here we have used the fact that $p \nmid n+r$ so that $n+r$ acts injectively on the k -space A . Therefore, $1_{n+r} \notin [M_{n+r}(S), M_{n+r}(S)]$.

On the other hand, in $M_p(k) \subset M_p(\text{End } V_S)$, the identity is a Lie commutator: $1 = [A, B]$ for $A = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} iE_{i, i+1}$ and $B = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} E_{i+1, i}$. This is a contradiction, whence $p \mid \rho(P)$, as asserted.

■

5. SOME REMARKS.

(a) It is not enough to merely assume that $p \mid |G|$ in the above theorem. For example, if $G = S_4$ is the symmetric group on four letters and $S = kG$ is the group algebra of G over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_3} = \mathbb{R}$ (so $p=3$), then S has two simple projective modules (cf. [8, p.166]). Thus the theorem fails for the composition length function ρ .

(b) Examples of rings which satisfy hypothesis (b) of the theorem include local rings and iterated polynomial rings over fields or, more

generally, enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie-algebras ([7, p.122]). Moreover, by the "twisted Grothendieck theorem" [3, Thm. 27], if R is right Noetherian of finite global dimension with (b), then (b) also holds for any skew polynomial or skew Laurent extension of R . It follows from a much more general recent theorem of J. Moody [6] that group rings of torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite groups over Noetherian domains of finite global dimension with (b) also satisfy (b).

(c) Viewing R as a subring of $S = R * G$ via $r \mapsto r \cdot 1$ ($1 =$ neutral element of $G =$ identity of S), a trace function of R with values in some abelian group A , $\text{tr} : R \rightarrow A$, extends to a trace $\text{Tr} : S \rightarrow A$ exactly if tr is G -invariant, that is $\text{tr}(r^x) = \text{tr}(r)$ holds for all $r \in R, x \in G$. Indeed, if Tr exists then $\text{tr}(r) = \text{Tr}(rx \cdot x^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(x^{-1} \cdot rx) = \text{tr}(r^x)$. Conversely, if tr is G -invariant, then setting $\text{Tr}(\sum_{x \in G} r_x x) = \text{tr}(r_1)$ gives the desired extension. Thus hypothesis (c) in the theorem is satisfied precisely if R has a G -invariant trace function which is nonzero for $1 \in R$.

(d) Any (Morita-) equivalence of module categories $\text{mod-}S \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod-}T$, T any ring, induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0(S) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G_0(T) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K_0(S) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_0(T) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the Cartan maps. Therefore, if S satisfies hypotheses (a) - (c) of the theorem, then the conclusion of the theorem also holds for rings T which are Morita equivalent to S . In particular, if $\rho : G_0(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is Goldie's, reduced rank function for such a ring T , then we must have $p|\rho(T)$ which certainly rules out the case where T is a domain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft / Heisenberg Programm (Lo 261/2-2).

REFERENCES.

- [1] H. BASS: Algebraic K-Theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] A.W. CHATTERS and C.R. HAJARNAVIS: Rings with Chain Conditions, Pitman, London, 1980.
- [3] F.T. FARRELL and W.C. HSIANG: A formula for $K_1(R_\alpha[T])$, in: Proc. Symposia in Pure Math., Vol. 17, pp.192-218, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1970.
- [4] K.R. GOODEARL: Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [5] M. LORENZ: K_0 of skew group rings and simple Noetherian rings without idempotents, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 32, 41-50 (1985).
- [6] J. MOODY: Induction theorems for infinite groups, announcement, 1986.
- [7] D. QUILLEN: Higher algebraic K-theory I, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol.341, pp.85-147, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1973.
- [8] J.P. SERRE: Représentations Linéaires des Groupes Finis, 2nd ed., Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [9] R.G. SWAN: K-Theory of Finite Groups and Orders, Lect. Notes in Math., Vol.149, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.