

## Primitive Ideals of Group Algebras of Supersoluble Groups

Martin Lorenz

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Gießen, Arndtstr. 2, D-6300 Gießen,  
Federal Republic of Germany

### Introduction

A group  $G$  (not necessarily finite) is *polycyclic* if  $G$  has a subnormal series  $\langle 1 \rangle = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_{n-1} \subset G_n = G$  such that  $G_{i-1} \triangleleft G_i$  and  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  is cyclic ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). If in addition the groups  $G_i$  appearing in the above series are normal in the whole group  $G$  then  $G$  is called *supersoluble*. The group  $G$  is *polycyclic-by-finite* if  $G$  has a normal polycyclic subgroup of finite index. It is well-known that the group ring  $R[G]$  of a polycyclic-by-finite group  $G$  over a noetherian ring  $R$  is noetherian (Hall [5], Theorem 1).

This note aims to characterize the primitive ideals (i.e. the kernels of the simple left modules) of the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  of a supersoluble group  $G$  over a perfect field  $\mathcal{K}$ . The methods used and the statement of the results have been very much influenced by the great success of Lie algebra theory on this subject, because in a sense, supersoluble groups can be considered as the formal group theoretic analogue of completely solvable finite-dimensional Lie algebras [i.e. Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  having a series  $0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$  of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  such that  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_i) = i$ ].

Section 1 deals with the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  of a general polycyclic-by-finite group  $G$ . Using methods developed by Hall in [6] we prove that the endomorphism ring of a simple  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -module is finite-dimensional over the ground field  $\mathcal{K}$  if the latter is perfect. We then give a short proof of the well-known fact that  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  is a Jacobson ring (i.e. the Jacobson radical of every homomorphic image is nilpotent, cf. [8, 4]).

In Section 2 the notion of the semicentre for factor algebras of group algebras is defined. The corresponding notion has been a very useful tool in the study of enveloping algebras of solvable Lie algebras (cf. [2], §6). The main result of this section is a version of Smith's Theorem A in [9] and states that: given two ideals  $I \subsetneq J$  in the group algebra of a supersoluble group  $G$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathcal{K}$ , it is possible to find a homomorphism  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{K})$  and an element  $\alpha \in J \setminus I$  such that  $\lambda(G)$  is finite and  $\alpha^x - \lambda(x)\alpha \in I$  for all  $x \in G$ .

Section 3 uses the above to prove the main result of this note (Theorem 3.3): Let  $G$  be a supersoluble group,  $\mathcal{K}$  a perfect field and  $I$  a prime ideal of the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ . Then the following are equivalent: (i)  $I$  is primitive. (ii) The centre

$Z(\mathcal{K}[G]/I)$  of  $\mathcal{K}[G]/I$  is a finite algebraic field extension of  $\mathcal{K}$ . (iii)  $I$  is maximal. (iv)  $I$  is locally closed in  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}[G]$ . – Here as usual  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}[G]$  denotes the set of prime ideals in  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ , endowed with the Jacobson topology (see [2], 1.2).  $I$  is called locally closed if  $\{I\}$  is a locally closed subset of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}[G]$  in this topology, that is the intersection of all prime ideals strictly containing  $I$  is distinct from  $I$ . Theorem 3.3 generalizes results of Zalesskij on group algebras of finitely generated nilpotent groups ([10], Theorem 1, Theorem 3).

The final Section 4 gives a method how to construct counterexamples to Theorem 3.3 in the case of general polycyclic-by-finite groups.

I would like to thank Professor G. O. Michler for his constant help and encouragement. I am also indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions and comments.

## 1. Endomorphism Rings of Simple Modules

Throughout this note “module” will mean “left module” and “ideal” stands for “two-sided ideal”.

(1.1) A suitable adaption of the proof (due to Gabriel) given in ([3], 2.6.9) yields the following technical

**Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a field and  $A$  a  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra such that for any extension field  $K$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  the  $K$ -algebra  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} K$  is noetherian. Furthermore let  $V$  be a completely reducible  $A$ -module of finite length. Then for any separable algebraic field extension  $K/\mathcal{K}$  the  $(A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} K)$ -module  $V \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} K$  is completely reducible of finite length.*

(1.2) **Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a polycyclic-by-finite group,  $\mathcal{K}$  a field and  $V$  an irreducible  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -module. Then every element of the endomorphism ring  $D := \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}[G]}(V)$  is algebraic over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{K}$  is perfect,  $\dim_{\mathcal{K}}(D) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Recall the following result of Hall ([6], Lemma 3): Let  $J := \mathcal{K}[\langle t \rangle]$  be the group algebra of the infinite cyclic group  $\langle t \rangle$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then a finitely generated  $J[G]$ -module cannot contain a  $J$ -submodule which is isomorphic with the field of fractions  $Q(J)$  of  $J$ .

(2) Let  $0 \neq x \in D$ . We show that  $x$  is algebraic over  $\mathcal{K}$ . If not, the subalgebra  $J := \mathcal{K}[x, x^{-1}]$  of the division ring  $D$  generated by  $1, x, x^{-1}$  can be considered as the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[\langle x \rangle]$  of the infinite cyclic group  $\langle x \rangle$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ . The  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -module  $V$  can be viewed as a module over the group ring  $J[G] = J \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{K}[G]$  via the action  $(j \otimes \alpha) \cdot v = j(\alpha \cdot v) = \alpha \cdot j(v)$  ( $j \in J, \alpha \in \mathcal{K}[G], v \in V$ ). The simplicity of  ${}_{\mathcal{K}[G]}V$  implies  $V$  to be a cyclic  $J[G]$ -module.

The field of fractions  $Q(J)$  of  $J$  is contained in  $D$  and hence acts on  $V$ . Let  $0 \neq v \in V$ . Then  $Q(J) \cdot v$  is a  $J$ -submodule of  $V$  that is isomorphic to  $Q(J)$  via the map  $q \mapsto q(v)$  ( $q \in Q(J)$ ). This contradicts the result mentioned in (1) and concludes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

(3) As to the second assertion let  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{K}$ . By (1.1)  $V \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}[G]$ -modules  $\hat{V}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Therefore

$$D \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\mathcal{K}} \subset \text{End}_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}[G]}(V \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\mathcal{K}}) = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}[G]}(\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j).$$

Since by (2) endomorphisms of simple  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}[G]$ -modules are scalar, it follows that  $\dim_{\mathcal{K}}(D) = \dim_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}(D \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\mathcal{K}})$  is finite. Thus the theorem is proved.

(1.3) An ideal  $I$  of the ring  $R$  is called *semiprime* if  $I \neq R$  and the factor  $R/I$  has no nonzero nilpotent ideals.  $I$  is *primitive* if  $R/I$  has a faithful simple module, i.e. a simple module  $M \neq 0$  such that  $a \cdot M = 0$  for  $a \in R/I$  implies  $a = 0$ . The intersection of all primitive ideals of  $R$  containing a given ideal  $I$  is just the inverse image in  $R$  of the Jacobson radical of  $R/I$ . – From (1.2) one derives the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a polycyclic-by-finite group and  $\mathcal{K}$  a field. Then any semiprime ideal of the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  is an intersection of primitive ideals of  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a semiprime ideal of  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ . We have to show that the Jacobson radical  $J$  of the algebra  $A := \mathcal{K}[G]/I$  is zero. Consider the direct product  $H := G \times \langle x \rangle$  of  $G$  with an infinite cyclic group  $\langle x \rangle$  and let  $I' := I\mathcal{K}[H]$  be the two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{K}[H]$  generated by  $I$ . Then the factor  $\mathcal{K}[H]/I'$  is isomorphic to the group ring  $B := A[\langle x \rangle]$ . (The isomorphism is given by  $\sum \alpha_i x^i + I' \mapsto \sum [\alpha_i + I] x^i, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{K}[G]$ .) Choose  $a \in J$ . It will suffice to show that  $a$  is nilpotent, for then  $J$  is a nil ideal of the semiprime noetherian ring  $A$  and hence zero.

*Claim.*  $B(1 - ax) = B$ .

*Pf.* If not,  $B(1 - ax)$  is contained in a maximal left ideal  $L$  of  $B$ . Let  $V$  be the simple  $B$ -module  $V := B/L, v_0 := 1 + L \in V$  and  $x_V$  the endomorphism  $v \mapsto x \cdot v$  of  $V$ . Since  $x$  is central in  $B$  it follows that  $x_V \in \text{End}_B(V)$ . Therefore, by (1.2),  $x_V$  is algebraic over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Furthermore being induced by a group element  $x_V$  is obviously invertible in  $\text{End}_B(V)$ . Set  $y := x_V^{-1} \in \text{End}_B(V)$ . For some polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{K}[X]$ , the polynomial algebra in one indeterminate  $X$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ , one has  $x_V = f(y)$ . The equation  $a \cdot x_V(v_0) = v_0$  gives  $a \cdot v_0 = y(v_0)$  and therefore  $(1 - af(a)) \cdot v_0 = (1 - yf(y))(v_0) = 0$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $1 - af(a)$  is contained in  $1 + J$  and hence is invertible.

Thus we may write  $1 = (a_{-m}x^{-m} + \dots + a_{-1}x^{-1} + a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n)(1 - ax)$  for suitable  $a_i \in A$ . Comparing degrees one obtains  $a_{-m} = a_{-m+1} = \dots = a_{-1} = 0, a_0 = 1, a_1 = a, a_2 = a^2, \dots, a_n = a^n, a^{n+1} = 0$ . This finishes the proof.

## 2. Semicentres

(2.1) Let  $G$  be a group,  $\mathcal{K}$  a field and  $I$  an ideal of the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$ . Then  $G$  acts on the factor  $A := \mathcal{K}[G]/I$  according to  $(\alpha + I)^x = \alpha^x + I$  ( $x \in G, \alpha \in \mathcal{K}[G]$ ). Set  $G^* := \text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{K}^*)$ , and for  $\lambda \in G^*$  set  $A^\lambda := \{a \in A : a^g = \lambda(g)a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ . In case  $A^\lambda \neq \{0\}$   $\lambda$  is called an *eigenvalue* of  $G$  in  $A$  and an element  $0 \neq a \in A^\lambda$  is called *semiinvariant*. Collect the eigenvalues of  $G$  in  $A$  in the subset  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of  $G^*$  and define the *semicentre*  $Sc(A)$  of  $A$  to be the sum of the eigenspaces  $A^\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)$ , in  $A$ . Using

standard arguments one shows that this sum is direct, hence

$$Sc(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)} \oplus A^\lambda.$$

(2.2) *Remarks.* a)  $Sc(A)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ : For  $\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{E}(A)$  one easily verifies the inclusion  $A^\lambda A^\nu \subset A^{\lambda \cdot \nu}$ . Here  $\lambda \cdot \nu \in G^*$  is defined by  $(\lambda \cdot \nu)(x) = \lambda(x)\nu(x)$  ( $x \in G$ ).

b) Obviously the centre  $Z(A)$  of  $A$  is just the eigenspace  $A^1$  corresponding to the character of  $G$  given by  $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus  $Z(A) \subset Sc(A)$ . In case  $G = [G, G]$  one has the equality  $Z(A) = Sc(A)$ , while in general the semicentre can be strictly greater than the centre of  $A$ .

c) For any semiinvariant element  $e \in A$  one has  $eA = Ae$ . If in addition  $A$  is prime,  $e$  is a regular element of  $A$ :  $eb = 0$  for some  $b \in A$  implies  $0 = Aeb = eAb$  and hence  $b = 0$ . Analogously  $be = 0$  implies  $b = 0$ . Thus  $\{1, e, e^2, \dots\}$  is an Ore subset of  $A$  (see [2], 2.2). In the same way one shows that a semiinvariant element  $e \in A$  is not nilpotent provided  $I$  is semiprime.

d) If  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  is noetherian and  $I$  is prime one can form the (classical) quotient ring  $Q(A)$  of  $A = \mathcal{K}[G]/I$ . Suppose for some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)$  elements  $a, b \in A^\lambda$  are given, with  $b \neq 0$ . Then by c)  $b$  is invertible in  $Q(A)$ . Furthermore remarks a) and b) show that  $ab^{-1} \in Z(Q(A))$  (cf. 3.2b).

(2.3) The following theorem is based on the proof of Smith's result ([9], Theorem A).

**Theorem** (P. F. Smith). *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $G$  a supersoluble group. If  $I \not\subseteq J$  are ideals of the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  then there exists  $\lambda \in G^*$  such that  $\lambda(G)$  is finite and  $J/I \cap (\mathcal{K}[G]/I)^\lambda \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle 1 \rangle = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_n = G$  be a series such that the groups  $G_i$  are normal in  $G$  and the factors  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  are cyclic. The proof is by induction on the subscript  $i$  of the groups  $G_i$  in the above series. For any normal subgroup  $V$  of  $G$  such that  $G/V$  is abelian and any index  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  let  $(V, i)$  denote the following statement:

$(V, i)$ : If  $I \not\subseteq J$  are  $V$ -stable ideals of  $\mathcal{K}[G_i]$  then there exist  $\gamma \in J \setminus I$  and  $\lambda \in V^*$  such that  $\lambda(V)$  is finite,  $\lambda|_{[G, G]} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\gamma^x - \lambda(x)\gamma \in I$  for all  $x \in V$ .

Thus the assertion of the theorem is just  $(G, n)$  and our  $i^{\text{th}}$  induction statement will be:

For every normal subgroup  $V$  of  $G$  such that  $G/V$  is finite abelian the assertion  $(V, i)$  is true.

The case  $i=0$  being trivial we proceed to prove the induction step. Choose  $V \triangleleft G$  such that  $G/V$  is finite abelian and set  $U := V \cap K_i$ , where  $K_i$  denotes the kernel of the natural map  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_{i+1}/G_i)$ . Then  $U$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and, since  $\text{Aut}(G_{i+1}/G_i)$  is a finite abelian group, the factor  $G/U$  is finite abelian. In order to prove  $(V, i+1)$  we proceed in two steps

(1)  $(U, i+1)$  is true.

(2) If  $D \subset E$  are normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G/D$  is finite abelian and  $E/D$  is cyclic then  $(D, i+1)$  implies  $(E, i+1)$ .

Consider a series  $U = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_s = V$  of normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $U_i/U_{i-1}$  is cyclic. Then  $(U, i+1)$  together with (2) finally yields  $(V, i+1)$ .

Set  $R := \mathcal{K}[G_{i+1}]$ ,  $S := \mathcal{K}[G_i]$ .

*Proof of (1).* Let  $\{g_l\}_{l \in M}$  be a transversal for  $G_i$  in  $G_{i+1}$ ,  $M$  some index set such that  $1 \in M$ ,  $g_1 = 1$ . The elements of  $R$  are uniquely expressible in the form  $\alpha = \sum_{l \in M} \alpha_l g_l$ , where  $\alpha_l \in S$ . Set  $S(\alpha) := \{g_l : l \in M, \alpha_l \neq 0\}$  and call  $\text{card } S(\alpha)$  the length of  $\alpha$ . Choose an element  $\alpha \in J \setminus I$  of minimal length among the elements of  $J \setminus I$ . Eventually multiplying on the right by a suitable group element we can clearly assume that  $1 \in S(\alpha)$ . Using the definition of  $U$  one easily sees that

$$C_\alpha(I) := \left\{ \gamma_1 \in S : \exists \gamma = \gamma_1 + \sum_{1 \neq l \in M} \gamma_l g_l \in I, \gamma_l \in S, S(\gamma) \subset S(\alpha) \right\}$$

and the analogously defined  $C_\alpha(J)$  are  $U$ -stable ideals of  $S$  such that  $C_\alpha(J) \supset C_\alpha(I)$ . Clearly  $\alpha_1 \in C_\alpha(J)$ , and the minimality of  $\alpha$  implies that  $\alpha_1 \notin C_\alpha(I)$ . Therefore by assumption  $(U, i)$ , there are  $\delta_1 \in C_\alpha(J) \setminus C_\alpha(I)$  and  $\lambda \in U^*$  such that  $\lambda(U)$  is finite,  $\lambda|[G, G] = \mathbb{1}$  and  $\delta_1 - \lambda(x)\delta_1 \in C_\alpha(I)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Choose  $\delta \in J$  such that  $\delta = \delta_1 + \sum_{1 \neq l \in M} \delta_l g_l$ ,  $\delta_l \in S$ ,  $S(\delta) \subset S(\alpha)$  and for each  $x \in U$  choose  $\gamma_x \in I$  such that  $\gamma_x = \delta_1 - \lambda(x)\delta_1 + \sum_{1 \neq l \in M} \gamma_{x,l} g_l$ ,  $\gamma_{x,l} \in S$ ,  $S(\gamma_x) \subset S(\alpha)$ . Then  $\delta \notin I$  since  $\delta_1 \notin C_\alpha(I)$ . For  $x \in U$  one obtains

$$\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta = \gamma_x + \left( - \sum_{1 \neq l \in M} \gamma_{x,l} g_l + \sum_{1 \neq l \in M} (\delta_l^x [x, g_l^{-1}] - \lambda(x)\delta_l) g_l \right).$$

Since  $S(\gamma_x)$ ,  $S(\delta) \subset S(\alpha)$  and  $[x, g_l^{-1}] \in G_i$ , the term in the brackets has shorter length than  $\alpha$ . The minimality of  $\alpha$  implies  $\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta \in I$ . Thus (1) is proved.

*Proof of (2).* Write  $E = \langle D, e \rangle$ , where  $e^m \in D$ . Consider  $E$ -stable ideals  $I \not\subseteq J$  of  $R$ . In particular  $I$  and  $J$  are  $D$ -stable and hence by the assumption  $(D, i+1)$  there exist  $\gamma \in J \setminus I$  and  $\lambda \in D^*$  such that  $\lambda(D)$  is finite,  $\lambda|[G, G] = \mathbb{1}$  and  $\gamma^x - \lambda(x)\gamma \in I$  for all  $x \in D$ . Let  $L$  be the finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{k}$ -vector space  $L := (\mathcal{k}\gamma + \mathcal{k}\gamma^e + \mathcal{k}\gamma^{e^2} + \dots + \mathcal{k}\gamma^{e^{m-1}} + I)/I$  and let  $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{k}}(L)$  be induced by the automorphism  $r \mapsto r^e$  of  $R$ .

Since  $\mathcal{k}$  is algebraically closed,  $\Phi$  has an eigenvalue  $\xi \in \mathcal{k}$ . If  $0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \gamma^{e^i} + I$  ( $k_i \in \mathcal{k}$ ) is a corresponding eigenvector in  $L$ , then  $\delta := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \gamma^{e^i} \in J \setminus I$  and  $\delta^e - \xi \delta \in I$ .

For  $x \in D$  one obtains  $\gamma^{e^t x} = \gamma^{[e^{-t}, x^{-1}] x e^t} = \gamma^{x e^t} = \lambda(x) \gamma^{e^t} \text{ mod } I$  since  $[G, G] \subset \text{Ker } \lambda$ . Therefore for all  $x \in D$ :  $\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta \in I$ . Thus one obtains a homomorphism  $\tilde{\lambda} \in E^*$  such that  $\delta^x - \tilde{\lambda}(x)\delta \in I$  for all  $x \in E$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}|_D = \lambda$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}(e) = \xi$ . Since  $e^m \in D$  one has  $\xi^m \in D$   $\xi^m \in \tilde{\lambda}(D) = \lambda(D)$  and hence  $\xi^m = 1$  for a suitable  $n$ . It follows that  $\tilde{\lambda}(E)$  is finite. This concludes the proof of (2) and of (2.3).

### 3. Primitive Ideals

(3.1) **Lemma.** *Let  $G$  be a polycyclic-by-finite group,  $\mathcal{k}$  a perfect field and  $I$  an ideal of the group algebra  $\mathcal{k}[G]$ . For an extension field  $\mathcal{k}'$  of  $\mathcal{k}$  let  $I' := I \otimes_{\mathcal{k}} \mathcal{k}'$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{k}'[G]$  generated by  $I$ . If  $I$  is semiprime then so is  $I'$ .*

*Proof.* With the obvious notational changes the proof given in [3], 3.4.2 (see also [2], 3.10) carries over.

(3.2) **Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a supersoluble group,  $\mathcal{k}$  a perfect field and  $I$  a semiprime ideal of the group algebra  $\mathcal{k}[G]$ . Set  $A := \mathcal{k}[G]/I$ .*

(a) *If  $J$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{k}[G]$  strictly containing  $I$  then  $J/I \cap Z(A) \neq 0$ .*

(b) *If in addition  $I$  is prime and  $Q(A)$  is the (classical) quotient ring of  $A$  then  $Z(Q(A)) = Q(Z(A))$ .*

*Proof.* (a) First suppose  $\mathcal{k}$  to be algebraically closed. Then for any ideal  $J$  of  $\mathcal{k}[G]$  strictly containing  $I$  there exists  $\lambda \in G^*$  such that  $\text{card } \lambda(G) =: n < \infty$  and  $J/I \cap A^\lambda \neq 0$  (2.3). Choose  $0 \neq a \in J/I \cap A^\lambda$ . Then  $a^n \neq 0$  since  $I$  is semiprime (2.2c). Furthermore  $\lambda^n(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  and hence by (2.2a)  $0 \neq a^n \in A^\lambda = Z(A)$  and clearly  $a^n \in J/I$ .

In the general case let  $\hat{\mathcal{k}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{k}$  and let  $\hat{I} := I \otimes_{\mathcal{k}} \hat{\mathcal{k}}$ ,  $\hat{J} := J \otimes_{\mathcal{k}} \hat{\mathcal{k}}$  be the ideals of  $\hat{\mathcal{k}}[G]$  generated by  $I, J$ . Then  $\hat{I} \not\subseteq \hat{J}$ , and by (3.1),  $\hat{I}$  is semiprime.

Therefore there exists  $0 \neq \hat{a} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{I} \in \hat{J}/\hat{I} \cap Z(\hat{\mathcal{k}}[G]/\hat{I})$  ( $\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{J}$ ). Using a  $\mathcal{k}$ -basis  $\{k_i\}_{i \in M}$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{k}}$  write  $\hat{\alpha}$  in the form  $\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in M_0} \alpha_i k_i$ ,  $M_0 \subset M$  a finite set,  $\alpha_i \in J \setminus I$ . Then obviously for all  $i \in M_0$ :  $0 \neq \alpha_i + I \in J/I \cap Z(A)$ .

(b) Let  $c$  be a central element of  $Q(A)$ . The set of all elements  $a \in A$  such that  $ac \in A$  forms a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $A$ . Therefore, by (a), we can find  $0 \neq z \in Z(A)$  such that  $zc \in A$ . Obviously  $zc \in Z(A)$ . Now since  $I$  is prime,  $z$  is regular in  $A$  and hence invertible in  $Q(A)$ . Thus  $Z(Q(A)) \subset Q(Z(A))$ . The other inclusion is trivial.

(3.3) **Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a supersoluble group and  $\mathcal{k}$  a perfect field. Then for any prime ideal  $I$  of the group algebra  $\mathcal{k}[G]$  the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$I$  is primitive.*
- (ii) *The centre  $Z(\mathcal{k}[G]/I)$  of  $\mathcal{k}[G]/I$  is a finite algebraic field extension of  $\mathcal{k}$ .*
- (iii)  *$I$  is maximal.*
- (iv)  *$I$  is locally closed in  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{k}[G]$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $\mathcal{k}[G]$ -module with kernel  $I$ . Then  $Z(\mathcal{k}[G]/I)$  is in a natural way embedded in  $Z(\text{End}_{\mathcal{k}[G]}(V))$ . Application of (1.2) yields the result. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). This follows immediately from (3.2a). Finally (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) is trivial and (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is a consequence of (1.3).

#### 4. Counterexamples

(4.1) In the present form (3.3) does not extend to group algebras of general polycyclic-by-finite groups: Let  $G$  be a polycyclic group having all nontrivial conjugacy classes of infinite order and let  $\mathcal{k}$  be an absolute field, i.e. a field that is algebraic over a finite field. Then by a result of Roseblade ([8], Theorem A),  $\mathcal{k}[G]$  is certainly not primitive. On the other hand  $\mathcal{k}[G]$  is prime and  $Z(\mathcal{k}[G]) = \mathcal{k}$ . Thus the ideal  $I = 0$  satisfies (ii) but not (i).

(4.2) Another more interesting example dealing with non absolute fields will be given below. We first state a slightly more general result suggested by the referee. Recall that if  $H$  is a group acting on a ring  $S$ , then the *crossed product*  $S_\alpha[H]$  of  $S$  and  $H$  with respect to the action  $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$  is a ring that is free as a right  $S$ -module with basis the elements of  $H$ . The multiplication is defined distributively extending the rule  $xr \cdot ys = xyr^{\alpha(y)}s$  ( $x, y \in H, r, s \in S$ ).

**Proposition.** *Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be an algebraically closed field and let  $X$  be an irreducible affine  $\mathbb{k}$ -variety with coordinate ring  $S$ . Furthermore let  $H$  be a group acting faithfully on  $X$  and let  $R := S_{\alpha}[H]$  be the crossed product of  $S$  and  $H$  with respect to the induced action  $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$  of  $H$  on  $S$ . Then:*

(a)  $R$  is prime.

(b) If  $X$  contains a dense  $H$ -orbit, then  $R$  is primitive.

(c) If  $R$  is noetherian, then the ideal  $I=0$  of  $R$  is locally closed in  $\text{Spec } R$  if and only if the union of all  $H$ -orbits that are not dense in  $X$  is not dense.

*Proof.* (1) If  $J$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R$ , then  $J \cap S \neq 0$ . Every element  $\alpha \in R$  can be

written uniquely in the form  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i s_i$ , where  $h_i \in H$  are distinct and  $s_i$  are

nonzero elements of  $S$ . Call the number of summands occurring in such an expression the length of  $\alpha$  and choose  $0 \neq \alpha \in J$  of minimal length  $n$  among the nonzero

elements of  $J$ . After multiplying with a suitable element of  $H$  if necessary, we may assume that  $h_1 = 1$ . The assertion will be proved if we can show that  $n = 1$ . Assume

$n > 1$ . Then  $h_n \neq 1$  and there exists an element  $s \in S$  such that  $s^{h_n} \neq s$ . (We write  $s^h$

instead of  $s^{\alpha(h)}$ .) The element  $\beta := s\alpha - \alpha s = \sum_{i=2}^n h_i (s^{h_i} - s) s_i \in J$  is nonzero, because

$s^{h_n} - s$ ,  $s_n \neq 0$  and  $S$  has no zero divisors by the irreducibility of  $X$ . Since  $\beta$  has shorter length than  $\alpha$  we have the desired contradiction.

(2) *Proof of (a).* If  $A, B$  are nonzero ideals of  $R$ , then by (1)  $A \cap S$  and  $B \cap S$  are nonzero. Therefore  $(A \cap S)(B \cap S) \neq 0$  and  $AB \neq 0$ .

(3) *Proof of (b).* The existence of a dense  $H$ -orbit is equivalent to the existence of a maximal ideal  $I$  of  $S$  such that  $\bigcap_{h \in H} I^h = 0$ . Consider the left ideal  $RI$  of  $R$ . Since  $R$

is free over  $S$ , it follows that  $RI \neq R$ . Hence we can choose a maximal left ideal  $L$  of  $R$  containing  $RI$ . Let  $V$  be the irreducible  $R$ -module  $V := R/L$ . Then as  $S$ -modules

$V \supset S + L/L \cong S/S \cap L = S/I$ . Therefore  $A \cap S \subset I$ , where  $A := \text{Ann}_R(V)$ . Since  $A \cap S$  is clearly an  $H$ -stable ideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $A \cap S \subset \bigcap_{h \in H} I^h = 0$ .

Finally  $A = 0$ , by (1). Thus  $V$  is a faithful irreducible  $R$ -module and  $R$  is primitive.

(4) If  $J$  is a semiprime ideal of  $R$ , then  $J \cap S$  is a semiprime ideal of  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} := \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  be the set of minimal prime ideals of  $S$  containing  $J_S := J \cap S$ . Since  $J_S$

is  $H$ -stable,  $H$  operates on  $\mathcal{M}$ . It follows that the radical  $\sqrt{J_S} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$  of the ideal

$J_S$  is  $H$ -stable. Hence  $R\sqrt{J_S}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Furthermore there exists an  $n$

such that  $\sqrt{J_S}^n \subset J_S$ . It follows that  $(R\sqrt{J_S})^n = R\sqrt{J_S}^n \subset RJ_S \subset J$ . Therefore, since  $J$  is semiprime,  $R\sqrt{J_S} \subset J$  and  $\sqrt{J_S} \subset J \cap S = J_S$ .

(5) *Proof of (c).* First suppose that the union of all  $H$ -orbits in  $X$  that are not dense is dense, i.e. there are maximal ideals  $I_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , of  $S$  such that  $D(I_{\alpha}) := \bigcap_{h \in H} I_{\alpha}^h \neq 0$

for all  $\alpha \in A$  but  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} D(I_{\alpha}) = 0$ . Each  $D(I_{\alpha})$  is a semiprime  $H$ -stable ideal of  $S$ . Let

$I'_{\alpha}$  be the two-sided ideal  $I'_{\alpha} := RD(I_{\alpha})$  of  $R$  and let  $J_{\alpha} := \sqrt{I'_{\alpha}}$  be the radical of  $I'_{\alpha}$ . Since  $R$  is noetherian,  $J_{\alpha}$  is a semiprime ideal of  $R$  such that  $J_{\alpha}^n \subset I'_{\alpha}$  for some  $n$ .

Therefore  $(J_{\alpha} \cap S)^n \subset I'_{\alpha} \cap S = D(I_{\alpha})$  and hence  $J_{\alpha} \cap S = D(I_{\alpha})$ . It follows that  $\left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_{\alpha} \right) \cap$

$S = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} D(I_{\alpha}) = 0$  and, by (1),  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_{\alpha} = 0$ . Thus the ideal  $I=0$  is the intersection of nonzero prime ideals and therefore is not locally closed in  $\text{Spec } R$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_\alpha = 0$ , where  $\{J_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  denotes the set of nonzero prime ideals of  $R$ . Then  $0 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (J_\alpha \cap S)$  and, by (1) and (4), each  $J_\alpha \cap S$  is a nonzero semiprime ideal of  $S$ . The Jacobson property of  $S$  implies that  $J_\alpha \cap S$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $S$  containing  $J_\alpha \cap S$ . Collect these ideals in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Certainly  $H$  operates on  $\mathcal{V}$  and hence  $J_\alpha \cap S = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$ . Let  $x_M$  be such that  $\{x_M\}$  is the set of zeros of  $M$  and let  $\mathcal{O}_M$  be the  $H$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_M := x_M^H$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_M$  is not dense, since its annihilating ideal  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_M) = \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$  is nonzero. But the union  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{O}_M$  is dense, because  $\mathcal{I}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{O}_M\right) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_M) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (J_\alpha \cap S) = 0$ .

This finishes the proof.

(4.3) We close with the promised example: Let  $A = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$  be a free abelian group of rank 2 and let  $z \in \text{Aut}(A)$  be defined by  $x^z = x^2y$ ,  $y^z = xy$ . Consider the group algebra  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  of the semidirect product  $G := A \rtimes_\sigma \langle z \rangle$  over the algebraically closed field  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}[A]$  can be considered as the coordinate ring  $S$  of the variety  $X := \mathcal{K}^2 \times \mathcal{K}$ . If we let  $\langle z \rangle$  act on  $X$  according to  $(c, d)^z := (cd^{-1}, c^{-1}d^2)$  ( $c, d \in \mathcal{K}$ ), then  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  is isomorphic to the crossed product of  $S$  and  $\langle z \rangle$ . The orbits of the action of  $\langle z \rangle$  on  $X$  are easily described (We omit the verifications):

- (1) All infinite  $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits are dense in  $X$ .
- (2) If  $E \subset \mathcal{K}$  denotes the set of roots of unity in  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $E \times E$  is the union of all finite  $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits in  $X$ .

Now suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  to be non absolute. Then  $E \neq \mathcal{K}$  and hence there are infinite  $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits in  $X$ . By (4.2b) together with (1), we conclude that  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  is primitive. Finally, since  $E \times E$  is dense in  $X$ , (4.2c) and (2) show that the ideal  $I = 0$  is not locally closed in  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}[G]$ . – We remark that the primitivity of  $\mathcal{K}[G]$  also follows from a result of Passman ([7], Corollary 7.9) that is based on Bergman's work in [1].

## References

1. Bergman, G. M.: The logarithmic limit set of an algebraic variety. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **157**, 459–469 (1971)
2. Borho, W., Gabriel, P., Rentschler, R.: *Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren*. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1973
3. Dixmier, J.: *Algèbres enveloppantes*. Paris: Gauthiers-Villars 1974
4. Goldie, A. W., Michler, G. O.: Ore extensions and polycyclic group rings. *J. London Math. Soc.* **9**, 337–345 (1974)
5. Hall, P.: Finiteness conditions for soluble groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **4**, 419–436 (1954)
6. Hall, P.: On the finiteness of certain soluble groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **9**, 595–622 (1959)
7. Passman, D. S.: *Advances in group rings*. *Israel J. Math.* **19**, 67–107 (1974)
8. Roseblade, J. E.: Group rings of polycyclic groups. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **3**, 307–328 (1973)
9. Smith, P. F.: Group rings of hypercyclic groups. *Math. Zeitschr.* **144**, 283–288 (1975)
10. Zalesskij, A. E.: Irreducible representations of finitely generated nilpotent torsion-free groups. *Math. Notes* **9**, 117–123 (1971)

Received March 4, 1976