

TOPIC 5. DIRECTED SETS, NETS, RIEMANN SUMS

1. DIRECTED SETS

A directed set is a set \mathcal{D} together with a relation \leq such that:

- (1) for all $p \in \mathcal{D}$: $p \leq p$;
- (2) for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{D}$, if $p \leq q$ and $q \leq r$, then $p \leq r$
- (3) for all $p, q \in \mathcal{D}$ there is $r \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $p \leq r$ and $q \leq r$.

Both \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} with the usual ordering are directed sets. Two further examples, the second more to the point than the first, are as follows.

5.1. Let S be some set, let $\mathcal{D} = \{P : P \subset S\}$, the family of subsets of S , with \leq defined by

$$\forall P, Q \in \mathcal{D} : P \leq Q \iff P \subseteq Q.$$

Show that \mathcal{D} with the ordering just defined is a directed set.

5.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, let $I = [a, b]$. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of finite partitions of I : the set whose elements are finite subsets $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ of I containing a and b , with the labeling such that $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$. Define the relation \leq for elements of \mathcal{P} by:

$$\forall P, Q \in \mathcal{P} : P \leq Q \iff P \subseteq Q.$$

Show that \mathcal{P} with the ordering just defined is a directed set.

2. NETS

A net is a generalization of a sequence. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a set A can be viewed as the function $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ defined by the rule $n \mapsto x_n$. In the case of a net, \mathbb{N} is replaced by a directed set \mathcal{D} : A net in A is a function $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$. Such a function assigns to each element p of \mathcal{D} an element x_p of A . As with sequences we may write it as $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$.

Convergence of a net of, for instance, real numbers, is defined by following the pattern of the definition of convergence in the case of a sequence:

Let \mathcal{D} be a directed set. A net $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$ in \mathbb{R} is said to converge to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if
 for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $p_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and $p_0 \leq p \implies |x - x_p| < \varepsilon$.

If $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$ converges to x we write $x_p \rightarrow x$ (with $p \in \mathcal{D}$ left implicit), or else $\lim_{p \in \mathcal{D}} x_p = x$.

5.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a directed set and $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$ a net of real numbers with the properties:

- (1) $p, q \in \mathcal{D}$ and $p \leq q$ implies $x_p \leq x_q$ (we say that $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$ is monotonically increasing), and
- (2) there is $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $p \in \mathcal{D}$, $x_p \leq b$ (we say that the net is bounded from above).

Show that $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{D}}$ converges. Hint: consider the set $X = \{x_p : p \in \mathcal{D}\}$, let x be its supremum. Show that x is the limit. Imitate the proof for the analogous statement for sequences.

3. RIEMANN SUMS

Let I be as in Problem 5.2 above, \mathcal{P} the set of finite partitions of I with the order defined in that problem, and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded function: there is β such that $|f(x)| \leq \beta$ for all $x \in I$. Given a partition $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b\}$, let $M_i = \sup\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}$, define R_P as

$$R_P = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup M_i(t_i - t_{i-1}).$$

This assignment of an element of \mathbb{R} to each element of \mathcal{P} is by definition a net. The following sequence of problems leads to the proof that $\{R_P\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ converges.

5.4. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be some partition, let $s \in I$ be a point not in P , and let Q be the partition of I obtained by adding s to P . Thus if $s \in (t_{i_0-1}, t_{i_0})$, then Q is

$$Q = \{a = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_{i_0-1} < s < t_{i_0} < \cdots < t_n = b\}$$

Show that

$$R_P \geq R_Q.$$

5.5. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P \leq Q$. Show that $R_P \geq R_Q$, that is, show that $\{R_P\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ is monotonically decreasing. Hint: use the previous problem noting that Q is obtained from P by adding to P the points of $Q \setminus P$, one at a time.

5.6. Show that $\{R_P\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ converges.