

# Azumaya loci and discriminant ideals

Kenny Brown

University of Glasgow

ALGEBRA EXTRAVAGANZA

Temple University

27 July 2017

# Aim and Plan

- 1 **AIM:** To explain and illustrate some old and some new results on the representation theory of algebras satisfying a polynomial identity.
- 2 **PLAN:**
  - Objects of study
  - Classical PI theory
  - Traces and discriminant ideals
  - Main result
  - Main result - idea of proof
  - Final comments

# Objects of study

Assume throughout that the following hypothesis **(H)** is in force:

- $k$  is an algebraically closed field;
- $R$  is a prime affine  $k$ -algebra which is a finite module over its centre  $Z(R)$ .

# Objects of study

Assume throughout that the following hypothesis (H) is in force:

- $k$  is an algebraically closed field;
- $R$  is a prime affine  $k$ -algebra which is a finite module over its centre  $Z(R)$ .

Then  $Z(R)$  is an affine domain,

# Objects of study

Assume throughout that the following hypothesis (H) is in force:

- $k$  is an algebraically closed field;
- $R$  is a prime affine  $k$ -algebra which is a finite module over its centre  $Z(R)$ .

Then  $Z(R)$  is an affine domain, so that  $R$  is noetherian and embeds in its classical quotient ring  $Q(R)$ , a central simple algebra.

# Objects of study

Assume throughout that the following hypothesis (H) is in force:

- $k$  is an algebraically closed field;
- $R$  is a prime affine  $k$ -algebra which is a finite module over its centre  $Z(R)$ .

Then  $Z(R)$  is an affine domain, so that  $R$  is noetherian and embeds in its classical quotient ring  $Q(R)$ , a central simple algebra. So

$$R \subseteq Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R)),$$

# Objects of study

Assume throughout that the following hypothesis **(H)** is in force:

- $k$  is an algebraically closed field;
- $R$  is a prime affine  $k$ -algebra which is a finite module over its centre  $Z(R)$ .

Then  $Z(R)$  is an affine domain, so that  $R$  is noetherian and embeds in its classical quotient ring  $Q(R)$ , a central simple algebra. So

$$R \subseteq Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R)),$$

with

$$\dim_{Q(Z(R))} Q(R) = n^2.$$

The integer  $n$  is the **PI-degree** of  $R$ .

# Objects of study (A)

**AIM:** Describe all simple  $R$ -modules.



# Objects of study (A)

**AIM:** Describe all simple  $R$ -modules.

## Example

Extreme case:  $R = Z(R)$ .

# Objects of study (A)

**AIM:** Describe all simple  $R$ -modules.

## Example

Extreme case:  $R = Z(R)$ . So  $R = k\langle y_1, \dots, y_t \rangle$ , an affine commutative domain,

# Objects of study (A)

**AIM:** Describe all simple  $R$ -modules.

## Example

Extreme case:  $R = Z(R)$ . So  $R = k\langle y_1, \dots, y_t \rangle$ , an affine commutative domain, and

$$\{(Isom. \text{ classes of}) \text{ simple } R - \text{ modules}\} \approx \text{Maxspec}R,$$

# Objects of study (A)

**AIM:** Describe all simple  $R$ -modules.

## Example

Extreme case:  $R = Z(R)$ . So  $R = k\langle y_1, \dots, y_t \rangle$ , an affine commutative domain, and

$$\{(\text{Isom. classes of}) \text{ simple } R\text{-modules}\} \approx \text{Maxspec}R,$$

and, for all simple  $R$ -modules  $V$ ,

$$\dim_k(V) = 1.$$

# Objects of study (B)

## Example

Let  $Z$  be a commutative affine domain, let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $R = M_m(Z)$ ,  $m \times m$  matrices over  $Z = Z(R)$ .

# Objects of study (B)

## Example

Let  $Z$  be a commutative affine domain, let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $R = M_m(Z)$ ,  $m \times m$  matrices over  $Z = Z(R)$ . If  $V$  is a simple  $R$ -module, then

$$V_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$$

for a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z$ , by the Nullstellensatz.

# Objects of study (B)

## Example

Let  $Z$  be a commutative affine domain, let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $R = M_m(Z)$ ,  $m \times m$  matrices over  $Z = Z(R)$ . If  $V$  is a simple  $R$ -module, then

$$V\mathfrak{m} = 0$$

for a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z$ , by the Nullstellensatz. So  $V$  is a simple module for  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_m(k)$ .

# Objects of study (B)

## Example

Let  $Z$  be a commutative affine domain, let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $R = M_m(Z)$ ,  $m \times m$  matrices over  $Z = Z(R)$ . If  $V$  is a simple  $R$ -module, then

$$V\mathfrak{m} = 0$$

for a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z$ , by the Nullstellensatz. So  $V$  is a simple module for  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_m(k)$ . Thus

$$\{\text{Isom. classes of simple } R\text{-modules}\} \approx \text{Maxspec}(Z),$$

and

$$\dim_k(V) = m.$$



# Objects of study (C)

## Example

Let  $T = M_2(k[X, Y])$ , and let  $R$  be the subalgebra

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $T$ .

# Objects of study (C)

## Example

Let  $T = M_2(k[X, Y])$ , and let  $R$  be the subalgebra

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $T$ . So  $Z(R) = k[X, Y]$ ,

# Objects of study (C)

## Example

Let  $T = M_2(k[X, Y])$ , and let  $R$  be the subalgebra

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $T$ . So  $Z(R) = k[X, Y]$ , and for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{a,b} := \langle X - a, Y - b \rangle$  of  $k[X, Y]$  except  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,0}$ ,

$$R/\mathfrak{m}_{a,b}R \cong M_2(k).$$

# Objects of study (C)

## Example

Let  $T = M_2(k[X, Y])$ , and let  $R$  be the subalgebra

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $T$ . So  $Z(R) = k[X, Y]$ , and for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{a,b} := \langle X - a, Y - b \rangle$  of  $k[X, Y]$  except  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,0}$ ,

$$R/\mathfrak{m}_{a,b}R \cong M_2(k).$$

So  $\exists$  **unique** simple  $R$ -module  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m}_{a,b} = 0$ , and

$$\dim_k(V) = 2.$$

# Objects of study ( $\mathbb{C}$ ), continued.

## Example

But there are 2 maximal ideals of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,0}$ , namely

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} \langle X, Y \rangle & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } Q := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Objects of study ( $\mathbb{C}$ ), continued.

## Example

But there are 2 maximal ideals of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,0}$ , namely

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} \langle X, Y \rangle & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } Q := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $R/P$  and  $R/Q$  are simple  $R$ -modules of dim 1.

# Objects of study ( $\mathbb{C}$ ), continued.

## Example

But there are 2 maximal ideals of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,0}$ , namely

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} \langle X, Y \rangle & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } Q := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $R/P$  and  $R/Q$  are simple  $R$ -modules of dim 1.

We'll see that this pattern is typical.....

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies  $(H)$ , (so that  $\text{PI - degree } R = n$ ).



## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies  $(H)$ , (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies  $(H)$ , (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .
- 2 For a *maximal ideal*  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z(R)$ , there exist  $t$  simple  $R$ -modules  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$ ,

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies  $(H)$ , (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .
- 2 For a *maximal ideal*  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z(R)$ , there exist  $t$  simple  $R$ -modules  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies (H), (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .
- 2 For a *maximal ideal*  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z(R)$ , there exist  $t$  simple  $R$ -modules  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .
- 3 Define

$$\mathcal{A}(R) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : \exists V \text{ simple, } V\mathfrak{m} = 0, \dim_k V = n\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a non-empty open (hence dense) subset of  $\text{Maxspec}(Z(R))$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies (H), (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .
- 2 For a *maximal ideal*  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z(R)$ , there exist  $t$  simple  $R$ -modules  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .
- 3 Define

$$\mathcal{A}(R) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : \exists V \text{ simple, } V\mathfrak{m} = 0, \dim_k V = n\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a non-empty open (hence dense) subset of  $\text{Maxspec}(Z(R))$ .

- 4  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R) \Leftrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$

## Theorem

Assume  $R$  satisfies  $(H)$ , (so that  $\text{PI-degree}R = n$ ).

- 1 Every *simple*  $R$ -module  $V$  has  $\dim_k(V) \leq n$ , and  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$  for some maximal ideal of  $Z(R) := Z$ .
- 2 For a *maximal ideal*  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $Z(R)$ , there exist  $t$  simple  $R$ -modules  $V$  with  $V\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .
- 3 Define

$$\mathcal{A}(R) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : \exists V \text{ simple, } V\mathfrak{m} = 0, \dim_k V = n\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a non-empty open (hence dense) subset of  $\text{Maxspec}(Z(R))$ .

- 4  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R) \Leftrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k) \Leftrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}R$  *semisimple*.

# Classical PI theory

$\mathcal{A}(R)$  is called the **Azumaya locus** of  $R$ .

# Classical PI theory

$\mathcal{A}(R)$  is called the **Azumaya locus** of  $R$ .

Above results due to Kaplansky, De Concini, Procesi, Posner, Small,....



# Classical PI theory

$\mathcal{A}(R)$  is called the **Azumaya locus** of  $R$ .

Above results due to Kaplansky, De Concini, Procesi, Posner, Small,....

The theorem suggests an obvious strategy to classify the simple  $R$ -modules when  $R$  satisfies (H):

- (1) Identify the Azumaya locus.

# Classical PI theory

$\mathcal{A}(R)$  is called the **Azumaya locus** of  $R$ .

Above results due to Kaplansky, De Concini, Procesi, Posner, Small,....

The theorem suggests an obvious strategy to classify the simple  $R$ -modules when  $R$  satisfies (H):

- (1) Identify the Azumaya locus.
- (2) Describe the non-Azumaya simple modules.

# Classical PI theory

$\mathcal{A}(R)$  is called the **Azumaya locus** of  $R$ .

Above results due to Kaplansky, De Concini, Procesi, Posner, Small,....

The theorem suggests an obvious strategy to classify the simple  $R$ -modules when  $R$  satisfies (H):

- (1) Identify the Azumaya locus.
- (2) Describe the non-Azumaya simple modules.

We'll focus on (1) in the rest of the talk.

# Traces and discriminant ideals

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

Assume  $R$  satisfies (H). A **trace map**  $\text{tr} : R \longrightarrow Z(R)$  is a map which

- 1 is  $Z(R)$ -linear;
- 2 is non-zero;
- 3 satisfies the **trace property**,  $\text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The reduced trace,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ :

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The reduced trace,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ : Recall that  $Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R))$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The **reduced trace**,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ : Recall that  $Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R))$ . Let  $F$  be a **splitting field** for  $Q(R)$  - that is,  $F$  is a finite extension field of  $Q(Z(R))$  such that

$$R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F).$$



# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The **reduced trace**,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ : Recall that  $Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R))$ . Let  $F$  be a **splitting field** for  $Q(R)$  - that is,  $F$  is a finite extension field of  $Q(Z(R))$  such that

$$R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F).$$

Define  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  to be the composition

$$R \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R)) \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} F,$$

where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the usual matrix trace.

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The **reduced trace**,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ : Recall that  $Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R))$ . Let  $F$  be a **splitting field** for  $Q(R)$  - that is,  $F$  is a finite extension field of  $Q(Z(R))$  such that

$$R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F).$$

Define  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  to be the composition

$$R \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R)) \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} F,$$

where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the usual matrix trace.

The reduced trace **is** a trace in sense of our definition **if  $Z(R)$  is normal**.

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Example

The **reduced trace**,  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$ : Recall that  $Q(R) = R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R))$ . Let  $F$  be a **splitting field** for  $Q(R)$  - that is,  $F$  is a finite extension field of  $Q(Z(R))$  such that

$$R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F).$$

Define  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  to be the composition

$$R \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} Q(Z(R)) \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{Z(R)} F \cong M_n(F) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} F,$$

where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the usual matrix trace.

The reduced trace **is** a trace in sense of our definition **if  $Z(R)$  is normal**. More on this later.

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

(Ceken, Palmierie, Wang, Zhang, Advances 2016)

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

(Ceken, Palmierie, Wang, Zhang, Advances 2016) Assume  $R$  satisfies (H),  $\text{tr}$  is a trace map on  $R$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

(Ceken, Palmierie, Wang, Zhang, Advances 2016) Assume  $R$  satisfies (H),  $\text{tr}$  is a trace map on  $R$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . The **modified  $m$ -discriminant ideal** is

$$MD_m(R, \text{tr}) := \langle \det[\text{tr}(y_i y'_j)] : (y_1, \dots, y_m), (y'_1, \dots, y'_m) \in R^m \rangle,$$

an ideal of  $Z(R)$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

(Ceken, Palmierie, Wang, Zhang, Advances 2016) Assume  $R$  satisfies (H),  $\text{tr}$  is a trace map on  $R$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . The **modified  $m$ -discriminant ideal** is

$$MD_m(R, \text{tr}) := \langle \det[\text{tr}(y_i y'_j)] : (y_1, \dots, y_m), (y'_1, \dots, y'_m) \in R^m \rangle,$$

an ideal of  $Z(R)$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $R$  satisfies (H), with  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Definition

(Ceken, Palmierie, Wang, Zhang, Advances 2016) Assume  $R$  satisfies (H),  $\text{tr}$  is a trace map on  $R$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . The **modified  $m$ -discriminant ideal** is

$$MD_m(R, \text{tr}) := \langle \det[\text{tr}(y_i y'_j)] : (y_1, \dots, y_m), (y'_1, \dots, y'_m) \in R^m \rangle,$$

an ideal of  $Z(R)$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $R$  satisfies (H), with  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R) b_i$ . Then

$$MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \det[\text{tr}(y_i y'_j)] : y_i, y'_j \in \{b_1, \dots, b_t\} \rangle.$$



## Examples

①  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle.$

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 3 If  $m > n^2$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 3 If  $m > n^2$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 4 If  $R$  is  $Z(R)$ -free on basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{n^2}\}$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \det[\text{tr}(b_i b_j)] \rangle$ , a principal ideal of  $Z(R)$ .

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 3 If  $m > n^2$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 4 If  $R$  is  $Z(R)$ -free on basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{n^2}\}$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \det[\text{tr}(b_i b_j)] \rangle$ , a principal ideal of  $Z(R)$ .
- 5 If

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix},$$

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 3 If  $m > n^2$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 4 If  $R$  is  $Z(R)$ -free on basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{n^2}\}$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \det[\text{tr}(b_i b_j)] \rangle$ , a principal ideal of  $Z(R)$ .
- 5 If

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $MD_4(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ .

# Traces and discriminant ideals

## Examples

- 1  $MD_1(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \text{tr}(R) \rangle$ .
- 2 If  $R = \sum_{i=1}^t Z(R)b_i$  and  $m > t$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 3 If  $m > n^2$ , then  $MD_m(R, \text{tr}) = \{0\}$ .
- 4 If  $R$  is  $Z(R)$ -free on basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{n^2}\}$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}) = \langle \det[\text{tr}(b_i b_j)] \rangle$ , a principal ideal of  $Z(R)$ .
- 5 If

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} k[X, Y] & \langle X, Y \rangle \\ k[X, Y] & k[X, Y] \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $MD_4(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ .

The last example is very suggestive....

# Main result

## Notation

*For an ideal  $I$  of a commutative affine domain  $Z$ ,*

$$\mathcal{V}(I) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\}.$$



# Main result

## Notation

For an ideal  $I$  of a commutative affine domain  $Z$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}(I) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

## Theorem

(B-Yakimov, arXiv1702.04305) Suppose that  $R$  satisfies (H), so  $R$  has PI-degree  $n$ , and that  $Z(R)$  is normal.

# Main result

## Notation

For an ideal  $I$  of a commutative affine domain  $Z$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}(I) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

## Theorem

(B-Yakimov, arXiv1702.04305) Suppose that  $R$  satisfies (H), so  $R$  has PI-degree  $n$ , and that  $Z(R)$  is normal. Then

$$\mathcal{V}(MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}})) = \text{Maxspec}(Z(R)) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R).$$

# Main result - idea of proof

# Main result - idea of proof

## Definition

- ① Let  $R$  satisfy (H). A trace map  $\text{tr}: R \rightarrow Z(R)$  is **representation theoretic** if for all  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z(R))$  there exists a non-trivial finite dimensional  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$ -module  $W_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and a scalar  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in k^*$  (both depending on  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & Z(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/\mathfrak{m}R & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{tr}_{W_{\mathfrak{m}}}} & Z(R)/\mathfrak{m} \cong k. \end{array}$$

# Main result - idea of proof

## Definition

- ① Let  $R$  satisfy (H). A trace map  $\text{tr}: R \rightarrow Z(R)$  is **representation theoretic** if for all  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(Z(R))$  there exists a non-trivial finite dimensional  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$ -module  $W_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and a scalar  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in k^*$  (both depending on  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & Z(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R/\mathfrak{m}R & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{tr}_{W_{\mathfrak{m}}}} & Z(R)/\mathfrak{m} \cong k. \end{array}$$

- ② Say  $\text{tr}$  is **almost rep. theoretic** if the above holds with  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in k$ , but  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \in k^*$  whenever  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is simple.

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

*Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.*

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .



# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char} k = 0$  or  $\text{char} k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

Given a trace  $\text{tr} : B \rightarrow k$ ,  $B$  a finite dim. algebra, we can define a trace form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow k : \langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(uv).$$

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char} k = 0$  or  $\text{char} k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

Given a trace  $\text{tr} : B \rightarrow k$ ,  $B$  a finite dim. algebra, we can define a trace form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow k : \langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(uv).$$

Call the form **nondegenerate** if  $\langle u, B \rangle = 0$  only for  $u = 0$ .

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

Given a trace  $\text{tr} : B \rightarrow k$ ,  $B$  a finite dim. algebra, we can define a trace form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow k : \langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(uv).$$

Call the form **nondegenerate** if  $\langle u, B \rangle = 0$  only for  $u = 0$ .

The **kernel**  $\{u \in B : \langle u, B \rangle = 0\}$  of the form is an **ideal of  $B$** ;

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

Given a trace  $\text{tr} : B \rightarrow k$ ,  $B$  a finite dim. algebra, we can define a trace form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow k : \langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(uv).$$

Call the form **nondegenerate** if  $\langle u, B \rangle = 0$  only for  $u = 0$ .

The **kernel**  $\{u \in B : \langle u, B \rangle = 0\}$  of the form is an **ideal of  $B$** ; so if  $B$  is simple then the form is nondegenerate.

# Main result - idea of proof

## Proposition

Let  $R$  satisfy (H), with  $Z(R)$  normal.

- 1 If  $\text{char } k = 0$  or  $\text{char } k > n$  then  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is rep.theoretic.
- 2  $\text{tr}_{\text{red}}$  is almost rep. theoretic for all fields  $k$ .

Depends crucially on [Braun, Additivity principle for PI rings, 1985].

Given a trace  $\text{tr} : B \rightarrow k$ ,  $B$  a finite dim. algebra, we can define a trace form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : B \times B \rightarrow k : \langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(uv).$$

Call the form **nondegenerate** if  $\langle u, B \rangle = 0$  only for  $u = 0$ .

The **kernel**  $\{u \in B : \langle u, B \rangle = 0\}$  of the form is an **ideal of  $B$** ; so if  $B$  is simple then the form is nondegenerate.

In this case, for basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_t\}$  of  $B$ ,  $\det[\text{tr}(b_i b_j)]_{t \times t} \neq 0$ .

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ .



# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ );

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ );  
or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ ); or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

In case (1), we show that there is then an induced trace, and so trace form, on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ .

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ ); or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

In case (1), we show that there is then an induced trace, and so trace form, on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ .

But  $\dim_k(R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)) < n^2$ , using results of Braun again.

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ ); or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

In case (1), we show that there is then an induced trace, and so trace form, on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ .

But  $\dim_k(R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)) < n^2$ , using results of Braun again.

So  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ ); or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

In case (1), we show that there is then an induced trace, and so trace form, on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ .

But  $\dim_k(R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)) < n^2$ , using results of Braun again. So  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

In case (2), the same conclusion is trivially true.

# Main result - idea of proof

The above shows that, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ , that is, if  $R/\mathfrak{m}R \cong M_n(k)$ , then  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}Z(R) \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then either (1) the induced trace on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is rep. theoretic (if  $s_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ ); or (2) the “trace” and trace form induced on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  are 0.

In case (1), we show that there is then an induced trace, and so trace form, on  $R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ .

But  $\dim_k(R/\mathfrak{m}R/J(R/\mathfrak{m}R)) < n^2$ , using results of Braun again. So  $MD_{n^2}(R, \text{tr}_{\text{red}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

In case (2), the same conclusion is trivially true.

This proves the Main Theorem.

# Final comments



# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.

# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.
- 2 There is another **characterisation of the (non)-Azumaya locus**, in terms of **the singular locus of  $Z(R)$** , due to [B-Goodearl, J Algebra, 1997].

# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.
- 2 There is another **characterisation of the (non)-Azumaya locus**, in terms of **the singular locus of  $Z(R)$** , due to [B-Goodearl, J Algebra, 1997]. This requires homological hypotheses which were weakened in [B-MacLeod, Algebras and Rep. Theory, 2017].

# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.
- 2 There is an another **characterisation of the (non)-Azumaya locus**, in terms of **the singular locus of  $Z(R)$** , due to [B-Goodearl, J Algebra, 1997]. This requires homological hypotheses which were weakened in [B-MacLeod, Algebras and Rep. Theory, 2017].
- 3 There is scope for many applications of the Main Theorem - see eg [B-Y, *loc cit*] for  **$R$  a quantised Weyl algebra**;

# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.
- 2 There is an another **characterisation of the (non)-Azumaya locus**, in terms of **the singular locus of  $Z(R)$** , due to [B-Goodearl, J Algebra, 1997]. This requires homological hypotheses which were weakened in [B-MacLeod, Algebras and Rep. Theory, 2017].
- 3 There is scope for many applications of the Main Theorem - see eg [B-Y, *loc cit*] for  **$R$  a quantised Weyl algebra**; and (in the “opposite direction” - from rep. theory **to** the (radical of) the discriminant ideal in [Walton, Wang, Yakimov, arXiv1704.04975]).

# Final comments

- 1 The idea of using the **nondegeneracy of a trace form to test for semisimplicity of a finite dimensional algebra** goes back to Weierstrass (1884) in the commutative case and to Molien (1894) in general.
- 2 There is an another **characterisation of the (non)-Azumaya locus**, in terms of **the singular locus of  $Z(R)$** , due to [B-Goodearl, J Algebra, 1997]. This requires homological hypotheses which were weakened in [B-MacLeod, Algebras and Rep. Theory, 2017].
- 3 There is scope for many applications of the Main Theorem - see eg [B-Y, *loc cit*] for  **$R$  a quantised Weyl algebra**; and (in the “opposite direction” - from rep. theory **to** the (radical of) the discriminant ideal in [Walton, Wang, Yakimov, arXiv1704.04975]).

4 Thanks!